

Firstly I believe it is not Lawful under the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900, which requires the Parliament to take such dramatic Legislation, to a Referendum.

Secondly I believe the origin of this proposed Legislation has come from an external Foreign Power and not 'We the People' of Australia, your Electors. Therefore it is un-Democratic and those responsible for draughting this Bill are not representing the people of Australia.

Where is the enumerated Head of Power, that grants Royal Crown Authority, to create such a Digital ID Bill? Is it King of Australia, as there is no instrument that puts the Title King of Australia' at law.

The ACCC are under investigation for corruption and malpractice and you want to make them watchdog for Digital ID implementation and ongoing regulation??

Where do you derive your definition of integrity? What safeguards are there to stop the government or Big Tech from abusing the privilege of accommodating the Digital ID's and not using them to restrict people's freedoms on the basis of what the Government wants to do. Going beyond the authority granted to it?

The Electors are adults, not children. We should have the freedom to use a digital ID or a paper ID. Choice is a sign of Democracy, No choice is a sign of Communism. Have you included all the clear definitions and parameters upfront so you can't change the rules to suit a new policy. Who has created those definitions? Are they transparent, qualified, unbiased, or have an invested interest? Any time the word "must" is used, it has to be changed to 'Should'.

This legislation can be used to Coerce parties to conform to the desires or hidden agendas of Government or foreign powers. (As shown by the 'Twitter Files') Will the Government be fully transparent? Will decisions be made by staff opinions or personal interpretations? What safety mechanisms are in place for mis-use and abuse.

This legislation will put the Fox in charge of the Hen-house? Who is in charge of over-seeing the decisions made and what Oversight safety mechanisms are in place to detect and correct wrong decisions made by ACCC in a timely fashion, and not be unfairly dragged out longer? (Much like Ombudsman decisions). What are the consequences if ACCC get a decision wrong?

Digitization transforms everything into 1's and 0's. So you would be reducing a Human Being, whether represented in a Photo or Words, into a sum of 1's and 0's Therefore it is against our Human Rights to reduce our dignity to such a low level. And those 1's and 0's and ID could be manipulated contrary to the 'Non-diminution of Rights' as per Aust Human Rights Commission.

Is there a totally independent reviewer of the platform. How can one entity cover what will be hundreds of thousands of uses in a year?

And who can insure it's transparency, and assure they are not influenced by government or a foreign power?

This is the thin end of the wedge, what is to stop the government from making unfavourable amendments of uses in the future? Who holds the Government and the ACCC accountable?

In the current environment of lack of strong Cyber security, you cannot ensure people's Privacy. What compensation will you give for any breaches? The ATO admit they are attacked about 60 time a month! Australian governments have a bad track record of Data breaches.

A living example of dis and mis-information management is China's censorship programs, and social credit score. Have you asked the people of Australia if they want that? Have they given you their Consent?

Australian Government have a history of lying to its population.

Examples include: Robodebt was said to be legal and it wasn't

The Cov19 Vax was said to be safe and effective, and it wasn't. DEANS registered over 138,000 adverse reactions including death.

The Governments said it would stop one from getting infected, it didn't.

They told us the jab would 'stop the spread'. It was a lie.

